

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/596,394		DENK ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	NICOLAS A. ARNETT		3751	

All Participants:

(1) NICOLAS A. ARNETT.

(2) DAVID SAFRAN.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) TIMOTHY MAUST.

(4) _____

Time: 1130

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The parties discussed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. In particular, the examiner pointed out potential objections to the drawings for encircling of reference characters in Figs 1, 28 and 29 and for reference characters appearing in the figures without mention in the specification (1.1, 1.2, 31, 33, 44 and 55). The examiner proposed an amendment to the drawings to correct the issues. Applicant agreed to the examiner's suggestion. The agreed-upon changes are detailed in the Examiner's Amendment.